

Switchable Reactions of Cyclopropanes with Enol Silyl Ethers. Controllable Synthesis of Cyclopentanes and 1,6-Dicarbonyl Compounds

Jian-Ping Qu, Chao Deng, Jian Zhou, Xiu-Li Sun, and Yong Tang*

State Kev Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Lu, Shanghai 200032

tangy@mail.sioc.ac.cn

Received June 24, 2009

Cu(SbF₆)₂-catalyzed reaction of 2-substituted cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylates 1 with enol silvl ethers 2 can be readily controlled: the reaction undergoes a cycloaddition to provide substituted cyclopentane derivatives 3 in excellent yields with high diastereoselectivities in the presence of complex 8/Cu(II); however, the same substrates afford acyclic 1,6-dicarbonyl products 4 via a cycloaddition-ring-opening reaction in up to 92% yield in the absence of ligand 8. The mechanism for the ligand-switchable reactions was investigated by both control experiments and ¹H NMR studies. The substrate scope and limitation of the tunable transformation were also examined.

Introduction

The control of reaction pathways for selective synthesis of different products from the same starting materials¹⁻⁹ has attracted much attention since such research not only maximizes the diverse utility of reactants but also benefits the understanding of reaction mechanism. In our previous studies on this subject, we reported that HMPA could switch the

stereochemistry of the cyclopropanation reaction of tellur-

onium allylides with α,β -unsaturated esters and amides, providing a facile synthesis of two geometrical isomers of multifunctionalized 3-vinylcyclopropane derivatives.^{2c}

⁽¹⁾ Reviews on tuning diastereoselectivities: (a) Sibi, M. P.; Liu, M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2001, 5, 719. (b) Flanagan, S. P.; Guiry, P. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 2125.

⁽²⁾ Examples of tuning diastereoselectivities in catalytic asymmetric reactions: (a) Liao, W.-W.; Li, K.; Tang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13030. (b) Tang, Y.; Huang, Y.-Z.; Dai, L.-X.; Chi, Z.-F.; Shi, L.-P. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5762. (c) Ye, S.; Yuan, L.; Huang, Z.-Z.; Tang, Y.; Dai, L.-X. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6257. (d) Evans, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C.; Campos, D. K. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10859. (e) Williams, J. T.; Campos, D. R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 179, 10639. (c) Williams, J. I., Bahia, P. S.; Snaith, J. S. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3727. (f) Weatherwax, A.; Abraham, C. J.; Lectka, T. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3461. (g) Lu, B. Z.; Senanayake, C.; Li, N.; Han, Z.; Bakale, R. P.; Wald, S. A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2599. (h) Barluenga, J.; Alonso, J.; Fananas, F. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, *125*, 2610. (i) Denmark, S. E.; Wong, K.-T.; Stavenger, R. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, *119*, 2333. (j) Sun, X.-W.; Xu, M.-H.; Lin, G.-Q. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4979. (k) Huang, Z.-Z.; Kang, Y.-B.; Zhou, J.; Ye, M.-C.; Tang, Y. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1677. (1) Mashima, K.; Kusano, K.; Sato, N.; Matsumura, Y.; Nozaki, K.; Kumobayashi, H.; Sayo, N.; Hori, Y.; Ishizaki, T.; Akutagawa, S.; Takaya, H. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3064. (m) Yan, X.-X.; Peng, Q. Li, Q.; Zhang, K.; Yao, J.; Hou, X.-L.; Wu, Y.-D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14362-14363.

⁽³⁾ Reviews of tuning the enantioselectivity: (a) Reference 1a. (b) Zanoni, G.; Castronovo, F.; Franzini, M.; Vidari, G.; Giannini, E. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003,

⁽⁴⁾ Selected examples of tuning the enantioselectivity with different transition metals: (a) Nishibayashi, Y.; Segawa, K.; Takada, H.; Ohe, K.; Uemura, S. *Chem. Commun.* **1996**, 847. (b) Nishibayashi, Y.; Segawa, K.; Uemura, S. *Chem. Commun.* 1996, 847. (b) Inisindayasii, 1., Segawa, 1., Ohe, K.; Uemura, S. *Organometallics* 1995, 14, 5486. (c) Murakami, M.; Itami, K.; Ito, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999, 121, 4130. (d) Yabu, K.; Masumoto, S.; Yamasaki, S.; Hamashima, Y.; Kanai, M.; Du, W.; Curran, C.; 2001, 122, 2008. (e) Lina A. P. D. P.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 9908. (e) Luna, A. P.; Bonin, M.; Micouin, L.; Husson, H. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12098.

⁽f) Du, D.-M.; Lu, S.-F.; Fang, T.; Xu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3712.
(5) Selected examples of tuning the enantioselectivity with different solvents: (a) Arseniyadis, S.; Valleix, A.; Wagner, A.; Mioskowski, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3314. (b) Zhou, J.; Tang, Y. Chem. Commun. 2004, 432. (c) Zhou, J.; Ye, M.-C.; Huang, Z.-Z.; Tang, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* 2004, 69, 1309. (d) Thorace, J.; Roberson, M.; Hazell, R. G.; Jørgensen, K. A. Chem.—Eur. J. 2002, 8, 1888. (e) Johannsen, M.; Jørgensen, K. A. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 7321. (f) Kanai, M.; Koga, K.; Tomioka, K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1248.

⁽⁶⁾ Selected examples of tuning the enantioselectivity with different temperatures: (a) Berkessel, A.; Mukherjee, S.; Lex, J. Synlett 2006, 41. (b) Trost, B. M.; Fettes, A.; Shireman, B. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2660. (c) Casey, C. P.; Martins, S. C.; Fagan, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5585. (d) Sibi, M. P.; Gorikunti, U.; Liu, M. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 8357.

⁽⁷⁾ Selected examples of tuning the enantioselectivity with ligands: (a) Busacca, C. A.; Grossbach, D.; So, R. C.; O'Brien, E. M.; Spinelli, E. M. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 595. (b) Busacca, C. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 5187. (c) Hoarau, O.; Ait-Haddou, H.; Daran, J. C.; Cramailère, D.; Balavoine, G. G. A. Organometallics 1999, 18, 4718. (d) Ait- Haddou, H.; Hoarau, O.; Cramailère, D.; Pezet, F.; Daran, J. C.; Balavoine, G. G. A. Chem.—Eur. J. **2004**, *IO*, 699. (e) Clyne, D. S.; Mermet-Bouvier, Y. C.; Nomura, N.; RajanBabu, T. V. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 7601.

Qu et al. **IOC** Article

We also observed solvent-reversed enantioselectivity in the Friedel-Crafts reaction of indole with arylidene malonates catalyzed by trisoxazoline (TOX)-derived copper complex^{5c} and temperature-controlled diastereoselectivity in the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of nitrones with arylidene malonates.2

Donor-acceptor cyclopropanes have been frequently used in many synthetic transformations, owing to the unique reactivity of the cyclopropane moiety. 10-21 For example, these cyclopropanes can undergo various cycloadditions, such as the [3 + 2] cycloadditions with aldehydes, ¹¹ aryl isocyanides, ¹² cyanopyridine, ¹³ diazene derivatives, ¹⁴ imines¹⁵ and indoles, ¹⁶ [3 + 3] cycloadditions with nitrones¹⁷ and azomethine imines, ¹⁸ and [4 + 3] cycloadditions with isobenzofurans. 19 Among the cycloadditions developed, the

(8) Hydrogen-bond-directed reversal of enantioselectivity: Zeng, W.; Chen, G.-Y.; Zhou, Y.-G.; Li, Y.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 750.

(9) Selected examples of tuning the enantioselectivity with other approaches: (a) Kitagawa, O.; Matsuo, S.; Yotsumoto, K.; Taguchi, T. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2524. (b) Lutz, F.; Igarashi, T.; Kawasaki, T.; Soai, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12206. (c) Mao, J.; Wan, B.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, R.; Wu, F.; Lu, S. J. Mol. Catal. A 2005, 225, 33. (d) Danjo, H.; Higuchi, M.; Yada, M.; Imamoto, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 603. (e) Kuwano, R.; Sawamura, M.; Ito, Y. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2000, 73, 2571. (f) Evans, D. A.; Kozlowski, M. C.; Murry, J. A.; Burgey, C. S.; Campos, K. R.; Connell, B. T.; Staples, R. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*. 669. (g) Ashimori, A.; Overman, L. E. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 4571

(10) The first addition of enolates to cyclopropanes: (a) Bone, W. A.; Perkin, W. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1895, 67, 108. For reviews, see: (b) Danishefsky, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 1979, 12, 66. (c) Wong, H. N. C.; Hon, M.-Y.; Tse, C.-W.; Yip, Y.-C. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 165. (d) Reissig, H.-U.; Zimmer, R. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1151. (e) Yu, M.; Pagenkopf, B. L. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 321. (f) Wenkert, E. Acc. Chem. Res. 1980, 13, 27. (g) Kulinkovich, O. G. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2597. (h) Paquette, L. A. Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 733. (i) Reissig, H. U. Top. Curr. Chem. 1988, 144, 73. (j) Rubin, M.; Rubina, M.; Gevorgyan, V. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3117.

(11) (a) Shi, M.; Yang, Y.-H.; Xu, B. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 1893. (b) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Johnson, J. S. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1057. (c) Gupta, A.; Yadav, V. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 8043. (d) Sliwinska, A.; Czardybon, W.; Warkentin, J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 695. (e) Bowman, R. K.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 573. (f) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sandars, S. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541 (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Johnson, J. S. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2541. (h) Pohlhaus, P. D.; Sanders, S. D.: Parsons, A. T.; Li, W.; Johnson, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8642. (i) Parsons, A. T.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 3122. (12) Korotkov, V. S.; Larionov, O. V.; de Meijere, A. *Synthesis* **2006**, *21*,

3542.

(13) Morra, N. A.; Morales, C. L.; Bajtos, B.; Wang, X.; Jang, H.; Wang,

J.; Yu, M.; Pagenkopf, B. L. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2385. (14) Korotkov, V. S.; Larionov, O. V.; Hofmeister, A.; Magull, J.; de Meijere, A. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7504.

(15) (a) Carson, C. A.; Kerr, M. A. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, 70, 8242. (b) Kang, Y.-B.; Tang, Y.; Sun, X.-L. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, 299. (c) Christie, S. D. R.; Davoile, R. J.; Jones, R. C. F. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2006**, 2683.

(16) (a) Venkatesh, C.; Singh, P. P.; Ila, H.; Junjappa, H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 5378. (b) Bajtos, B.; Yu, M.; Zhao, H.; Pagenkopf, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9631. (c) England, D. B.; Kuss, T. D. O.; Keddy, R. G.; Kerr, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 4704.

(17) (a) Young, I. S.; Kerr, M. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 3023. (b) Young, I. S.; Kerr, M. A. Org. Lett. **2004**, *6*, 139, (c) Sibj, M. P.; Ma, Z.; Jasperse, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2005**, *127*, 5764. (d) Sapeta, K.; Kerr, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8597. (e) Kang, Y.-B.; Sun, X.-L.; Tang, Y. Angew, Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3918.

(18) Perreault, C.; Goudreau, S. R.; Zimmer, L. E.; Charette, A. B. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 689.

(19) (a) Ivanova, O. A.; Budynina, E. M.; Grishin, Y. K.; Trushkov, I. V.; Verteletskii, P. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1107. (b) Chagarovskiy, A. O.; Budynina, E. M.; Ivanova, O. A.; Grishin, Y. K.; Trushkov, I. V.; Verteletskii, P. V. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 5385.

(20) Other reagents react with donor-acceptor cyclopropanes to give cyclopentanes: (a) Reference 11b and references cited therein. (b) Graziano, M. L.; Chiosi, S. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1989, 44. (c) Yu, M.; Pagenkopf, B. L. . Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8122. (d) Yu, M.; Pagenkopf, B. L. Org. Lett.

(21) Wang et al. reported Sc(OTf)₃-catalyzed reaction of donor—acceptor cyclopropane-1,1-diesters with enol silyl ethers, affording1,6-dicarbonyl compounds. See: Fang, J.; Ren, J.; Wang, Z.-W. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008,

TABLE 1. Effects of Lewis Acids^a

entry	Lewis acid	conv ^b (%)	3a/4a ^b	dr (3a) ^b
1	$Yb(OTf)_3 \cdot xH_2O$	53	99/1	82/18
2	Sc(OTf) ₃	> 99	4/96	,
3^{21}	$In(OTf)_3$	> 99	11/89	
4	Ga(OTf) ₃	> 99	8/92	
5	$Sn(OTf)_2$	> 99	4/96	
6	$Cu(OTf)_2$	91	1/99	
7	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	> 99 (73°)	1/99	
8	FeCl ₃	27	67/33	87/13
9	TiCl ₄ ^d	36	1/99	

^aReaction conditions: **1a** (52.5 mg, 0.2 mmol), **2a** (76.9 mg, 0.4 mmol), Lewis acid (0.04 mmol), CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL), room temperature, 12 h. ^bDetermined by ¹H NMR. ^cIsolated yield. ^d-78 °C, 24 h. When the reaction was performed at room temperature, the reaction was complicated.

reactions of cyclopropanes with enols are reported to afford cyclopentane derivatives and/or 1,6-dicarbonyl compounds, depending on substrates. ^{21,22} Very recently, we found that the product of cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate with enol silyl ether can be tuned very well by ligand providing cyclopentanes²³ and 1,6-dicarbonyl compounds respectively with excellent selectivity. Herein, we wish to report the results in detail.

Results and Discussion

Lewis Acid Effect. Using diethyl 2-phenylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylate **1a** as a model substrate, ²¹ we first evaluated different Lewis acids as catalysts. The reaction of 1a with enol silyl ether 2a was performed in methylene chloride at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere in the presence of 20 mol % of Lewis acid. For a clear comparison, reactions were all quenched after 12 h, followed by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude products. As shown in Table 1, Lewis acids influence strongly the reaction and the product distribution. For example, although metal perchlorate hydrates failed to promote the reaction,²⁴ metal triflates worked well in this reaction (entries 1-6). Yb(OTf)₃·xH₂O afforded cycloaddition adduct 3a as the sole product in moderate conversion with good diastereoselectivity (entry 1). The other triflates screened gave the ring-opening adduct 4a as the main product in excellent conversion (entries 2-6). In particular, only product 4a was obtained when Cu(OTf)2 was used (entry 6). Cu(SbF₆)₂, prepared from CuCl₂ and

(23) For the synthesis applications of cyclopentanes, see: (a) Silva, L. F. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 9137. (b) Das, S.; Chandrasekhar, S.; Yadav, J. S.; Gree, R. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 3286. (c) Hudlicky, T.; Price, J. D. Chem. Rev.

^{(22) (}a) Miura, K.; Fugmai, K.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 5135. (b) Singleton, D. A.; Church, K. M.; Lucero, M. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 5551. (c) Saigo, K.; Shimada, S.; Shibasaki, T.; Hasegawa, M. Chem. Lett. 1990, 1093. (d) Komatsu, M.; Suehiro, I.; Horiguchi, Y.; Kuwajima, I. Synlett 1991, 771. (e) Horiguchi, Y.; Suehiro, I.; Sasaki, A.; Kuwajima, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6077. (f) Sugita, Y.; Kawai, K.; Hosoya, H.; Yokoe, I. *Heterocycles* **1999**, *51*, 2029. (g) Sugita, Y.; Kawai, K.; Yokoe, I. Heterocycles 2000, 53, 657. (h) Sugita, Y.; Kawai, K. Yokoe, I. Heterocycles 2001, 55, 135. (i) Takasu, K.; Nagao, S.; Ihara, M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2006, 348, 2376.

⁽²⁴⁾ For details, see the Supporting Information.

FIGURE 1. Monitoring the Cu(SbF₆)₂-catalyzed reaction between 1a and 2b in DCM by ¹H NMR.

SCHEME 1. Proposed Process of Cycloaddition-Ring-Opening Reaction

Ph CO₂Et OTBS Cu(SbF₆)₂ Ph OTBS
$$CO_2$$
Et CO_2 ET

AgSbF₆ in situ,²⁵ could also promote the reaction to full conversion with a ratio of **4a/3a** up to 99/1 and product **4a** was isolated in 73% yield. Thus, the reaction product can be controlled by a simple choice of Lewis acid. To further improve the yield, we examined the effects of solvents, and methylene chloride (DCM) proved to be the optimal for the solvents screened.²⁴

Since the product distribution is Lewis acid dependent, on the basis of the observation, we speculate that this reaction proceeds via a Lewis acid catalyzed [3 + 2] cycloaddition to afford product 3a, which further gives product 4a by a ring-opening reaction under the catalysis of the same Lewis acid, similar to the mechanism as Wang proposed. To test this hypothesis, the effects of the ester group in cyclopropane 1 and the silyl group in enol silyl ether 2 on the reaction selectivity were examined by using $Cu(SbF_6)_2$ as a catalyst. As shown in Table 2, the bulky silyl group (TBS vs TMS) in compounds 2 slowed down the ring-opening reaction, affording cyclopentanes 3 as major products (entries 1-4, Table 2). The case of using ethyl ester 1a and tert-butyl silyl ether 2b as substrates gave product 3 with the satisfying selectivity (3/4 up to 87/13 and dr up to 90/10) (entry 3).

To gain insight into the reaction pathway, ¹H NMR was used to monitor the reaction (Figure 1). As the ¹H NMR

TABLE 2. Effects of the Ester Groups in Cyclopropane 1 and the Silyl Groups in Enol Silyl Ether 2^a

2.2

entry	R^1	R^2	$\operatorname{conv}^b(\%)$	$3/4^b$	dr (3) ^b
1	Et (1a)	TMS (2a)	> 99	48/52	88/12
2	Me (1b)	TMS (2a)	> 99	20/80	87/13
3	Et (1a)	TBS (2b)	> 99	87/13	90/10
4	Me (1b)	TBS (2b)	> 99	76/24	91/9

 $^aReaction conditions: {\bf 1}~(0.2~mmol), {\bf 2}~(0.4~mmol), CuCl_2~(5.4~mg, 0.04~mmol, 20%), AgSbF_6~(27.4~mg, 0.08~mmol), CH_2Cl_2~(1.0~mL), room temperature, 2 h. <math display="inline">^bDetermined~by~^1H~NMR.$

spectra demonstrated, cyclopropane (1a) disappeared in 1 h, and cycloaddition adduct 3b (unique peaks at 3.03, 2.51 ppm) formed with a 3b/4a ratio of >95/5. Two hours later, new signals appeared at 3.14 and 2.40 ppm, which correspond to the representative chemical shifts of acyclic compound 4a. After 21 h, the product 3b disappeared and was transformed into 4a completely, suggesting that the reaction proceeded in a stepwise manner: the initial [3 + 2] cycloaddition afforded product 3b, and then the following ring-opening reaction of 3b gave product 4a (Scheme 1).

Effects of Ligand and Reaction Time. By ¹H NMR study and the experimental observation described above, there

⁽²⁵⁾ Evans, D. A.; Kozlowski, M. C.; Murry, J. A.; Burgey, C. S.; Campos, K. R.; Connell, B. T.; Staples, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 669

FIGURE 2. Ligands screened for the [3 + 2] cycloaddition.

TABLE 3. Effects of Ligand and Reaction Time on the Product Distribution of the Reaction of 1a with Enol Silyl Ether 2a^a

entry	Lewis acid	ligand	time (h)	conv ^b (%)	3a/4a ^b	dr (3a) ^b
1	Cu(SbF ₆) ₂	no	2	100	48/52	88/12
2	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	no	12	100	< 1/99	
3	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	Et_3N	24	0		
4	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	pyridine	24	0		
5	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	DBU	24	0		
6	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	5	12	100	87/13	85/15
7	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	6	24	< 1		
8	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	7	24	54	96/4	92/8
9	no	8^c	24	0		
10	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	8^c	24	85	> 99/1	94/6
11	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	8^c	48	$100~(85^d)$	> 99/1	94/6
12	$Cu(OTf)_2$	8	12	82	93/7	89/11
13	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	11	24	100	> 99/1	92/8
14	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	9	24	67	93/7	92/8
15	$Cu(SbF_6)_2$	10	24	19	> 99/1	86/14

 a Reaction conditions: **1a** (52.5 mg, 0.2 mmol), **2a** (76.9 mg, 0.4 mmol), CuCl₂ (2.7 mg, 0.02 mmol, 10%), AgSbF₆ (13.7 mg, 0.04 mmol), ligand (0.02 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL), room temperature, monitored by TLC for entries 1 and 2, CuCl₂ (5.4 mg, 0.04 mmol, 20 mol%), AgSbF₆ (27.4 mg, 0.08 mmol); b Determined by 1 H NMR; c Complex **8/Z**n(II) (6.2 mg, 0.009 mmol); d Isolated yield.

is possibility to tune the product by careful choice of the reaction time. As shown in Table 3, reaction time influences the product distribution strongly using Cu(SbF₆)₂ as a catalyst. For instance, a mixture of products 3a and 4a was obtained with a ratio of 48/52 when the reaction was quenched in 2 h, and only the ring-opening product 4a was observed with a good stereoselectivity after 12 h of reaction (entry 1 vs 2). Since the Lewis acid catalysts proved to influence the reaction distribution (Table 1) and ligand can modulate the Lewis acidity of the catalyst, we envisioned that ligand might suppress the ring-opening reaction of product 3 in an easy way and also improve the diastereoselectivity of the cycloaddition reaction. Thus, we screened several nitrogen-containing ligands with different structures shown in Figure 2. As summarized in Table 3, monodentate ligands such as pyridine, Et₃N, and DBU failed to promote the reaction (entries 3-5). Pyridine derivative **6** is also inert

(entry 7). Of the bidentate and tridentate ligands examined, ligands 7, 9, and 10 could promote the cycloaddition. Interestingly, when we tried to synthesize bisoxazoline 8 by a $Zn(OTf)_2$ -mediated reaction between 2-aminoethanol and 2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)malononitrile, only complex 8/Zn(II) was obtained. Although the complex 8/Zn(II) in the absence of $Cu(SbF_6)_2$ could not catalyze the cycloaddition (entry 9), the [3 + 2] cycloaddition reaction worked smoothly in the presence of catalytic 8/Zn(II) and $Cu(SbF_6)_2$, and the ring-opening reaction was almost suppressed. In this case, the cycloaddition adduct 3a was obtained in 85% yield with good diastereoselectivity (dr = 94/6) (entry 11). Both 8/Zn(II) with $Cu(OTf)_2$ and 11/ $Cu(SbF_6)_2$ could promote the reaction affording the desired product 3a with good diastereoselectivity (entries 12 and 13).

Substrate Scope. Under the optimal conditions, we next evaluated the generality of this tunable reaction between enol silyl ethers with cyclopropanes. As shown in Table 4, the ester groups on cyclopropanes 1 slightly influenced the yields of the cycloaddition (entry 1 vs 3 and entry 2 vs 4, Table 4). Compared with TMS-protected enol, TBS-protected enol gave higher yield and better diastereoselectivity probably due to the steric hindrance. TIPS-protected enol silyl ethers diminished both the yield and diastereoselectivity. Thus, we chose the TBS-protected enol ethers for this study. All reactions of both electron-deficient and electron-rich 4-aryl cyclopropanes proceeded smoothly in excellent yields with good diastereoselectivities (entries 5-9). The cycloaddition reaction of styryl-substituted enol silyl ether gave the product 3i in 78% yield with a diastereomeric ratio of 91/9 (entry 10). Diethyl 2-vinyl cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylates reacted smoothly, giving the desired product 3k in moderate yield and diastereoselectivity (entry 11). 2-Furylcyclopropane gave complicated products at room temperature but underwent the reaction smoothly to afford the desired product 31 in 65% yield at −45 °C. Raising the temperature to -25 °C improved the diastereoselectivity, but the yield decreased (entry 12). When the enol silyl ether was changed (3,4-dihydronaphthalen-1-yloxy)trimethylsilane, two stereoisomers 3m were isolated (entry 13). Silvl enol ether of phenyl ethyl ketone also worked very well, in which the two isomers could be separated in 55% and 24% yields. The structures of the products were determined by NMR. The JOC Article

Qu et al.

TABLE 4. Switchable Reactions of Cyclopropanes with Enol Silyl Ethers^a

entry	R^1	R ²	\mathbb{R}^3	with ligand			ithout ligand
				product	yield (%) ^b (dr ^c)	product	yield (%) ^b
1	Ph	Et	TMS	3a	85 (94/6)	4a	73
2	Ph	Et	TBS	3b	94 (96/4)	4a	80
3	Ph	Me	TMS	3e	73 (94/6)	4b	79^d
4	Ph	Me	TBS	3d	99 (95/5)	4b	78
5	4 - $CF_3C_6H_4$	Me	TBS	3e	94 (96/4)	4c	64
6	$4\text{-ClC}_6\text{H}_4$	Me	TBS	3f	98 (95/5)	4d	81
7	4-BrC_6H_4	Me	TBS	3g	99 (94/6)	4e	70
8	4-MeC ₆ H ₄	Me	TBS	3h	99 (95/5)	4f	90
9	4-MeOC ₆ H ₄	Me	TBS	3i	95 (95/5)	4g	92 (86 ^e)
10	Styryl	Me	TBS	3ј	78 (91/9)	4h	49
11	Vinyl	Et	TBS	3k	85 (86/14)	4i	28 (51 ^f)
12	2-furyl	M	TDG	31	65 ^g (80/20)	4j	15 ⁱ
		Me	TBS		$47^h(90/10)$		
13	Ph	Et	отмѕ	3m	75 (46/54)	4k	47 ^j
			отвѕ				
14	Ph	Et	dr 15/1	3n	79^k	41	55 ¹

 $^{a}\text{Method 1 (with 8/Zn(II), for 3): 1 (0.2 \text{ mmol}), 2 (0.4 \text{ mmol}), \text{CuCl}_2 (2.7 \text{ mg}, 0.02 \text{ mmol}, 10\%), \text{AgSbF}_6 (13.7 \text{ mg}, 0.04 \text{ mmol}), \text{complex 8/Zn(II) (6.2 \text{ mg}, 0.099 \text{ mmol}), \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 (1.0 \text{ mL}), \text{rt}, \text{monitored by TLC}. \text{Method 2 (without ligand, for 4): 1 (0.2 \text{ mmol}), 2 (0.4 \text{ mmol}), \text{CuCl}_2 (5.4 \text{ mg}, 0.04 \text{ mmol}), 20\%), \text{AgSbF}_6 (27.4 \text{ mg}, 0.08 \text{ mmol}), \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 (1.0 \text{ mL}), \text{rt}, \text{monitored by TLC}. \\ ^{b}\text{Isolated yield}. \\ ^{c}\text{Determined by }^{l}\text{H NMR using crude product before flash chromatography}. \\ ^{d}\text{0.5 mmol scale}. \\ ^{e}\text{1.0 mmol scale}. \\ ^{e}\text{1.0 mmol scale}. \\ ^{e}\text{Trimethyl(1-phenylvinyloxy)silane} \text{ was used instead of } \\ ^{e}\text{tert}\text{-butyldimethyl(1-phenylvinyloxy)silane}. \\ ^{e}\text{Cu(SbF}_6)_2/[8/Zn(II)] = 5 \text{ mol } \%/2.3 \text{ mol } \%, -45 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}. \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Cu(SbF}_6)_2/[8/Zn(II)] = 5 \text{ mol } \%/2.3 \text{ mol } \%, -45 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}. \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio of } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio of } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio of } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio of } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers obtained with a ratio } \\ \\ ^{e}\text{Two isomers ob$

structure of 3d was further confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.²⁴ For the cycloaddition-ring-opening reaction of cyclopropanes 1 with enol silvl ethers 2, the ester groups of cyclopropanes slightly influenced the yield of the reaction (entries 1-4, Table 4). The electronic character of the aryl group on the cyclopropanes had strong effects on the yields of the reaction (entries 5-9). Cyclopropanes with electron-withdrawing aryl groups gave the desired products in moderate yields (entries 5-7). Those with electron-donating groups underwent the ring-opening reactions smoothly in excellent yields (entries 8, 9). 2-Vinyl, 2-styryl, and 2furylcyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylates reacted with tertbutyldimethyl(1-phenylvinyloxy)silane affording the desired products in relatively low yields (entries 10-12). (3,4-Dihydronaphthalen-1-yloxy)trimethylsilane gave 4k in 47% yield with poor diastereoselectivity (entry 13). Silyl enol ether of phenyl ethyl ketone also was a suitable substrate, and the reaction with cyclopropanes 1a afforded cycloaddition-ringopening diastereoisomers 41 with a ratio of 75/25 (entry 14).

Noticeably, we used optically active $1a^{17e}$ with 77% ee instead of its racemic isomers to run the reaction with enol

SCHEME 2. [3+2] Reaction of Enol Silyl Ether 2b with Chiral Cyclopropane 1a

Ph
$$CO_2Et$$
 CO_2Et CO_2ET

silyl ether **2b** in the presence of $\text{Cu}(\text{SbF}_6)_2/8$. It was found that the chirality was transferred to the product completely and cyclopentane derivative **3b** was obtained with 77% ee in 92% yield (Scheme 2).

Conclusion

In summary, although the reaction of enol silyl ethers with 2-substituted cyclopropane-1,1-dicarboxylates has been reported to give 1,6-dicarbonyl compounds,²¹ we have developed a strategy to control the products of this reaction by the choice of ligand/Lewis acid system. In the presence of ligand 8/Zn(II), the cycloaddition between enol silyl ethers with

Qu et al. **JOC** Article

cyclopropanes catalyzed by Cu(SbF₆)₂ gave the pentasubstituted cyclopentane derivatives 3 with excellent diastereoselectivities in most cases. In the absence of the ligand, the same reaction afforded linear 1,6-dicarbonyl compounds. This method provides a good example for the reaction control. In addition, the mechanism for the current ligandswitchable reaction was investigated in detail by both control experiments and ¹H NMR studies. The mild reaction conditions, cheap and easily available catalyst, and the synthetically useful products make this method potentially useful in organic synthesis. Endeavors to develop the highly enantioselective version are now ongoing in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

Representative Procedure (with Ligand and 3a as an Example). To cyclopropane diester 1a (52.5 mg, 0.20 mmol) was added a solution of CuCl₂/AgSbF₆ (2.7 mg, 0.02 mmol/13.7 mg, 0.04 mmol) and 8/Zn(II) (6.2 mg, 0.009 mmol) in DCM (1.0 mL), followed by pumping of enol silyl ether 2a (76.9 mg, 0.40 mmol), under nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting solution was stirred until the cyclopropane diester 1a was completely consumed (48 h, monitoring by TLC, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate = 10/1, v/v). Then the mixture was passed through a short silica gel column and eluted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The combined elution was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, v/v, 50/1) to give the pure **3a** as colorless oil: yield 65.9 mg (85%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.77 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.43–7.23 (m, 8H), 4.38-4.21 (m, 2H), 3.85-3.66 (m, 3H), 3.35 (dd, J = 8.4,13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (t, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (dd, J = 5.1, 12.6 Hz, 1H), $2.27 \, (dd, J = 10.8, 13.5 \, Hz, 1H), 1.37 \, (t, J = 7.2 \, Hz, 3H), 0.89$ $(t, J = 6.9 \text{ Hz}, 3\text{H}), -0.08 \text{ (s}, 9\text{H)}; ^{13}\text{C NMR} (75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta$ 171.5, 169.1, 143.5, 142.1, 128.5, 128.2, 127.5, 127.4, 127.1, 126.5, 88.9, 71.3, 61.1, 60.9, 47.6, 42.8, 41.5, 14.1, 13.5, 1.7; LRMS-ESI (m/z) [M + Na]⁺ 477.1; HRMS-ESI [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₂₆H₃₄O₅SiNa 477.2068, found 477.2075; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3062,

3029, 2981, 2958, 2903, 1732, 1604, 1497, 1448, 1367, 1252, 1100, 1072, 987, 844, 755, 699.

Representative Procedure (without Ligand and 4a as an Example). To an oven-dried Schlenk reaction tube were added cyclopropane diester 1a (52.5 mg, 0.20 mmol), CuCl₂ (5.4 mg, 0.04 mmol), AgSbF₆ (27.4 mg, 0.08 mmol), and CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL), followed by enol silvl ethers **2b** (93.7 mg, 0.40 mmol) under nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 23 h. After the reaction was complete (monitoring by TLC), the solution was passed through a short silica gel column, which was further eluted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The combined elution was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate, v/v, 10/1) to give the pure 4a as colorless oil: yield 76.5 mg (80%); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.88 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (t, J =7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.32–7.18 (m, 5H), 4.22 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 4.12-3.96 (m, 2H), 3.44-3.23 (m, 3H), $3.14 \, (dd, J = 5.1, 9.9 \, Hz, 1H), 2.44 - 2.35 \, (m, 1H), 2.28 - 2.18 \, (m, 1H)$ 1H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.18 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 197.9, 169.3, 169.2, 142.6, 136.9, 133.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.0, 127.8, 126.9, 61.4, 61.3(7), 50.1, 45.7, 38.9, 35.0, 14.1, 13.9; LRMS-ESI (m/z) [M + Na]⁺ 405.0; HRMS-ESI $\begin{array}{l} [M+Na]^+\, calcd\, for\, C_{23}H_{26}O_5Na\, 405.1672,\, found\, 405.1679;\, IR\\ (KBr,\, cm^{-1})\, 2957,\, 2924,\, 2851,\, 1731,\, 1688,\, 1449,\, 1371,\, 1268. \end{array}$

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for the financial support from the Natural Sciences Foundation of China (Nos. 20821002 and 20672131), the Major State Basic Research Development Program (Grant No. 2009CB825300), the Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality.

Supporting Information Available: CIF files and crystallographic data, general synthetic procedures and characterization, and spectral data for key compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.